UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/811,070	03/29/2004	Gustaaf Willem Van Der Feltz	1857.2780000	8087		
	26111 7590 12/15/2008 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			KIM, PETER B			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2851			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/15/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/811,070	811,070 VAN DER FELTZ ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter B. Kim	2851				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Peter B. Kim</u> .	(3) <u>Jason D. Eisenberg</u> .					
(2) Shubh Sengupta.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>09 December 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Ottens et al.</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that Ottens from the stored the deformation map to make the adjustments while with the claimed invention the dimesional response is calculated in real time and adjustments are made accordingly. The examiner stated that a further review of the case based on applicant's arguments is necessary upon the filing of the response from applicant. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has alteredy been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Peter B. Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2851						

Application No.

Applicant(s)